Bob Benjy (SBN 211569)
BENJY LAW CORPORATION
10250 Constellation Blvd., Suite 100
Los Angeles, California 90067

Telephone: (310) 203-2650

www.BenjyLaw.com

Attorneys for RAKANI, LLC, a Pennsylvania limited liability company, and CHIRAYU PATEL, an individual

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
06/18/2019

Sherri R. Carser, Executive @Especial Clerk of Court

By: ____ Deputy

Shannon Bousfield

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

RAKANI, LLC, a Pennsylvania limited liability company,

Plaintiff,

V.

DESERT FARMS INC., a Delaware corporation; WALID ABDUL-WAHAB, an individual; DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,

Defendants.

DESERT FARMS, INC., a Delaware corporation; and WALID ABDUL-WAHAB, an individual,

Cross-Complainants,

v.

RAKANI, LLC, a Pennsylvania limited liability company; CHIARYU PATEL, an individual; and ROES 1 to 20, inclusive,

Cross-Defendants.

[Hon. Rupert A. Byrdsong (Dept. 28)]

Case No.: BC704723 Action Filed: May 3, 2018

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING RAKANI, LLC AND CHIRAYU PATEL'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AGAINST DESERT FARMS, INC. AND WALID ABDUL-WAHAB

RESERVATION ID: 180809338907

Hearing Information

Date: June 11, 2019 Time: 8:45 a.m.

Dept.: 28

Stanley Mosk Courthouse

111 N. Hill Street

Los Angeles, California 90012

28

BENJY LAW

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff and cross-defendant Rakani, LLC, a Pennsylvania limited liability company, and cross-defendant Chirayu Patel's, an individual (collectively with Rakani, LLC, "Movants"), Motion for Summary Judgment Or, Alternatively, Summary Adjudication of Issues ("Motion") came on for hearing in department 28 of the above-captioned court, the Honorable Rupert A. Byrdsong, Judge Presiding, on June 11, 2019. Bob Benjy of Benjy Law Corporation appeared on behalf of all movants. Christopher L. Bauer of Law Offices of Christopher L. Bauer appeared on behalf of defendants and cross-complainants Desert Farms, Inc., a California corporation, and Walid Abdul-Wahab, an individual (collectively with Desert Farms, Inc., "Opposing Parties").

After full consideration of all moving, opposition and reply papers, including the evidence objections to the Declaration of Walid Abdul-Wahab and the proffered evidence submitted by all parties, plus the arguments of counsel, the Court finds there is no triable issue of material fact in this action and that Movants are entitled to summary judgment as a matter of law.

The Court finds that Opposing Parties failed to provide any substantial evidence to support their theory that Movants have unclean hands (and/or engaged in a campaign of economic duress) in connection with the Advisor Agreement or Chirayu Patel's obligations under that agreement. Instead, Opposing Parties instead relied on circumstantial evidence fastened together in a self-serving manner and resulting in nothing more than speculation, conjecture, and theory, which is inadequate for purposes of defeating a summary judgment motion. To the extent that Chirayu Patel told Walid Abdul-Wahab / Desert Farms, Inc. that he was "a business owner and long-time accredited investor [and] possessed of the contacts, information and experience to raise significant amounts of capital for virtually any type of start-up company," that statement, even when coupled with the fact that no equity capital was raised and no potential investor was presented, is inadequate to support an unclean hands defense in defeating a motion for summary judgment. [See Declaration of Walid Abdul-Wahab, ¶¶ 7, 10.]

The Court also finds that any alleged wrongdoing, malfeasance or mal intent on the part of Patel is too far removed and indirect for purposes of supporting Opposing Parties' unclean hands (and/or economic duress) defense as against the First and Second Causes of Action in

Rakani, LLC's Verified Complaint because Mr. Patel is separate and distinct from Rakani, LLC. Opposing Parties have presented no evidence of wrongdoing by Rakani, LLC that would support, evidence or justify such a defense.

In addition, the Court finds that the unclean hands defense asserted in Opposing Parties' Verified Answer is too broad to encompass the economic duress defense argued by them in opposition to the Motion. Economic duress is a separate and distinct defense that ought to have been asserted in the Verified Answer earlier in this action. No defense of economic duress was ever raised in the Verified Answer and Opposing Parties failed to move the Court to amend same to add such a defense. Consequently, as a matter of due process, it is improper for the Court to consider the economic duress defense.

The Court also finds that even if the economic duress defense were considered under the umbrella of the asserted unclean hands defese, there is no material issue of fact on the issue of economic duress because Opposing Parties have failed to proffer any admissible evidence that could possibly lead the Court to conclude that Desert Farms, Inc. had no reasonable alternative but to succumb and enter into the Sales Royalty Agreement (i.e., there is no evidence that without the agreement Desert Farms, Inc. would face bankruptcy or financial ruin). [See Declaration of Walid Abdul-Wahab, ¶ 13.]. Without such evidence, the defense of economic duress must necessarily fail as a matter of law.

Opposing Parties failed to proffer any evidence whatsoever in support of any of the following affirmative defenses asserted against Movants' Verified Complaint: (a) Third Affirmative Defense (Lack of Standing); (b) Fifth Affirmative Defense (Setoff, Offset and Recoupment); and/or (c) Sixth Affirmative Defense (Usury).

In connection with their Cross-Complaint, the Court finds that Opposing Parties failed to proffer any evidence of damages in connection with any of the five causes of action asserted therein. In addition, with regard to the Third and Fourth Causes of Action for Intentional and Negligent Misrepresentation (asserted against Chirayu Patel only), Opposing Parties proffered no evidence whatsoever on the requisite elements of justifiable reliance; intent to induce reliance (negligent misrepresentation); or intent to defraud (intentional misrepresentation).

5
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

The Court finds that Movants have proffered adequate admissible evidence to
demonstrate that there is no triable issue of material fact on the Verified Complaint's First and
Second Causes of Action for Breach of Contract (adverse Desert Farms, Inc.) and Breach of
Guaranty (adverse Walid Abdul-Wahab) and the Court is convinced that Movants are entitled to
judgment as a matter of law thereon. [See Undisputed Material Fact Nos. 37, 45-47, 49, 51, 52-
54, 56, 58-60, 62-63, 65, 66-76.]
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the summary judgment motion is GRANTED, as to both
the Verified Complaint and the Cross-Complaint; both in favor of movants Rakani, LLC and
Chirayu Patel and against opposing parties Desert Farms, Inc. and Walid Abdul-Wahab.
IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that Rakani, LLC shall forthwith be awarded
money judgment in the sum of \$173,011.97, jointly and severally, against: (a) Desert Farms, Inc.
(on the Verified Complaint's First Cause of Action for Breach of Contract); and (b) Walid
Abdul-Wahab (on the Verified Complaint's Second Cause of Action for Breach of Guaranty).
IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that a judgment of no liability shall be entered
in favor of Chirayu Patel and against Desert Farms, Inc. and Walid Abdul-Wahab on the Cross-
Complaint's First through Fourth Causes of Action, inclusive.
IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that a judgment of no liability shall be entered
in favor of Rakani, LLC and against Desert Farms, Inc. and Walid Abdul-Wahab on the Cross-
Complaint's Fifth Cause of Action.
DATED. R}^Æi 2010
DATED:
RXABYS
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Ü`]^¦**dÁO⊞ÁÓ**^¦å•[}*

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare and certify as follows:

I am over the age of eighteen years, not a party to the within action and employed in the County of Los Angeles State of California. I am employed in the office of Benjy Law Corporation, members of the Bar of the above-entitled Court, and I made the service referred to below at their direction. My business address is 10250 Constellation Blvd., Suite 100, Los Angeles, California 90067.

On June 6, 2019, I served true copy(ies) of all of the following documents, copies of which are enclosed herewith, to each of the following recipients:

Documents Served

• [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING RAKANI, LLC AND CHIRAYU PATEL'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AGAINST DESERT FARMS, INC. AND WALID ABDUL-WAHAB

Parties Served

- CHRISTOPHER BAUER, ESQ. (email: cbauer@clbesquire.com), The Law Offices of Christopher L. Bauer, 17500 Red Hill Avenue, Suite 230, Irvine, California 92614.
- BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I enclosed the documents in an envelope or package provided by an overnight delivery carrier and addressed to all persons identified herein (above). I placed the envelope or package for collection and overnight delivery at an office or a regularly utilized drop box of the overnight delivery carrier.
- BY E-SERVICE: I transmitted the above-referenced document(s) via electronic service to the person(s) identified above at the email address(es) indicated and did not, within a reasonable time after transmission, receive any message or communication indicating that delivery failed or that any other error had occurred which would delay or cause failure in transmission and delivery of the message and its attachment.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 6, 2019, at Los Angeles, California.

/s/ Bob Benjy Bob Benjy

